





DATE MAILED: 05/21/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/988,246	12/01/1997	SEBASTIEN RAOUX	AM1771-4-T19	7250
75	90 05/21/2002			
WILLIAM L SHAFFER PATENT COUNSEL MS/2061 LEGAL AFFAIRS DEPT. P O BOX 450A			EXAMINER	
			ZERVIGON, RUDY	
APPLIED MAT SANTA CLAR	<del>-</del>		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
DANTA CLAR	n, en 75052		1763	32

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			·1·D-3
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	08/988,246	RAOUX ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rudy Zervigon	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	idress
THE REPLY FILED 29 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required t final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	o avoid abandonment of this : (1) a timely filed amendme peal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper re ent which places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing			
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ex ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	pire later than SIX MONTHS from	the mailing date of the final reje	ction.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the per fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration dat (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	riod of extension and the corresporte of the shortened statutory period Office later than three months after	nding amount of the fee. The ar I for reply originally set in the fin	propriate extension al Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 29 April 2002. A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37	• •	-	th in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require for	urther consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below)	,
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the applicati issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	on in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without car NOTE:	nceling a corresponding num	nber of finally rejected clai	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely file	d amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) reques application in condition for allowance because		en considered but does N	OT place the

fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. S 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 29 April 2002 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter (a) they raise new issues that would require (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see (c) they are not deemed to place the applic issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without of NOTE: . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requ application in condition for allowance becau 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 3-6,11-14,16,19-24 and 26-30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

ent and Trademark Office

10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700





Continuation of 10. Other: Consistent with the teachings of Ohmi (USPat. 5,272,417), as described in prior actions, both high frequency and low frequency power supplies are coupled to respective electrodes (See Figure 1, items 111 and 110). Moreover, Ohmi provides rationale for changing the relative magnitudes of the power source frequencies (column 11, lines 49-54) to meet requisite processing conditions - "...and it should be determined according to the etching speed required or to the shape of the coating on the stepped portion of the formed film." (column 11, lines 58-61)...